



Class Struggle 148 Autumn 2024

Editorial: White supremacy Rears its Ugly Head!

What does the New Zealand right-wing governments designation of Hamas as a terrorist organisation; its determination to rewrite the Treaty to deny Māori sovereignty, and its move to empower and re-arm the petty bourgeois white minority, have in common? .

It is the political reaction of a settler government that lines up with the kith and kin to defend the European tradition of white racist capitalism that dates back to the 15th century, against the 'subhuman' black and brown peoples whose societies they overran.

It is happening now because the historical material roots of that white settler racism are being challenged globally for the first time ever as capitalism faces its terminal crisis of war and revolution as working people choose between revolution or extinction.

The Israeli genocide of Palestine is the supreme test for both. It brings to the surface all the old shit of centuries of colonial oppression and wars revealed in all its malignancy, as the Eurocapitalist world is shown up as a zero-sum march to world destruction.

At the same time, it makes clear to the oppressed that there is no way out but the destruction of the oppressor. So here we go as Eurocapitalist nations line up behind the US supreme settler colony turned global hegemon, including the deputy sheriffs of the South Pacific, in a last ditch stand at the Alamo of white supremacy, to defend Israel.

Why Israel? Because it condenses the most vile and obscene manifestations of all that is bad in colonial settler history. It brings all the reactionary shit of the conquests of the New World, Asia and Africa and refines it as pure evil. Israel like all white settler states came into existence as the colony of imperialism.

Backed by the US and Britain, and legitimated by the UN, that gang of thieves, Zionist Israel was born in 1948. It was imperialism thumbing its nose (picture Winston Churchill's nose) at the wave of anti-colonial revolutions then taking place. It got away with it only because the Zionists who founded Israel claimed to be anti-imperialist by decolonising Palestine and throwing out Britain.

This was a lie. Israel became a joint British-Zionist project with the Balfour Declaration, but not a colony oppressed by Britain. Britain and the US had an interest in a Jewish nation state to rid them of Jewish bourgeois and worker migrants. They made it possible for Israel to become the nation state of the Jewish bourgeoisie who were

desperate to emulate all other bourgeois nations and have their own blood and soil.

Zionism signed a pact with the Nazis to export Jews from Europe to Israel since Jews were not fit to live among Europeans. It agreed to stop the collaboration of Jewish workers with the anti-fascist movement in Europe.

Zionism proved itself to be the fifth column for the Nazis and the main enemy of the Jews. That's why it is a lie for Zionists to claim today that it stands for Jewish national liberation. No nation can claim to be free while it occupies and oppresses another nation. Zionist Israel exists as the pro-imperialist and anti-workers ally of the US to police West Asia (Middle East).

Lining up behind Israel's right to exist, defending its colonial occupation, condemning the legitimate right of Palestinians armed resistance to occupation, are all the red lines that the Eurocapitalist world must sign up to. Why? Because if Israel goes down, so do the remaining neo-colonies and client states risk defeat at the hands of mass revolutionary uprisings.

This is why the NACTNZ government in power is sucking up to the US over Israel, Ukraine and China. They declare their loyalty to the declining US led Eurocapitalist bloc against the rising power of the Global South and influence of Russia and China.

In a terminal crisis when the end of capitalism is ecologically inevitable, the culture of end-stage capitalism is to defend with wars and genocides the rule of decaying Eurocapitalism.

The unspoken truth, however, is that it is not its white racist client regimes that pose a threat to Eurocapitalism, it is the masses who, once aroused and armed, can take the power to end white colonial rule for good, that makes the white supremacists panic.

If we translate this global phenomenon to the situation in Aotearoa it becomes clear why the first 100 days of the new NACTNZ government is a panic-ridden rush to repeal the last 50 years of Treaty settlements.

And just as Zionism is what the Eurocapitalist world will die in a ditch to save, it is the undisputed sovereignty of white rule over Māori self-determination that becomes the cultural and political red line to defend.

Like the Zionist kith and kin who annexed Palestine in order to establish its own bourgeois economy on its own blood and soil, in Aotearoa the white supremacists demand uncontested sovereignty over their own blood and soil by suppressing the land rights of the Māori people.

World War 3 in the Asia Pacific?

The Daily Blog is running a war agenda in [Ben Morgan's columns](#) where Russia and China are painted as the main protagonists of the rush to war against the West. Yet Russia and China are clearly not the main instigators, nor the warmongers in this scenario. What is going on?

Neither have projected their military outside their borders since 1990 except over competing border claims or at the invitation of political allies. Neither have foreign military bases apart from Russia in Tartus, Syria, and China in Djibouti, alongside the US, France, China, Britain and Japan!

True, they have become big powers despite coming very late onto the world stage as restored capitalist imperialisms. Yet their rapid economic growth does not currently need any resort to military offensives to boost their expansion.

It is the US acting militarily to counter this rising economic challenge to its declining hegemony that poses the greatest risk of escalation to WW3 sealing the fate of humanity.

Therefore, to claim that Russia and China are the main drivers of the geopolitical agenda is far from true. It takes two to tango - Zero and Sum - and this dance didn't start in the early 21st but the late 19th century. It was called the 'Great Game' for Eurasia, pitting the European powers against Tzarist Russia.

This game has continued ever since under various guises, including the Russian Civil War and the post WW2 Cold War, and today raises its ugly head again as the End Game between the Western and Eastern imperialist blocs led by the US and China.

Economically, both Russia and China are growing relatively fast (approx. 3% and 5%) compared to the stagnation and recession of the West. That growth reflects their common legacy as former bureaucratic worker states in which the bureaucrats ruled but still defended state property in the name of workers.

The bureaucratic caste was forced to restore capitalism because without workers in control of the plan, the economies stagnated and with it, the caste's parasitic lifestyle. Restoration of capitalism turned the Red bureaucracy into the Red bourgeoisie as Western investors were welcomed.

The restoration of capitalism around 1992 did not guarantee Russia and China independence from re-colonisation by the West, though the advantages of a strong centralised state and huge national resource base, inherited from the former bureaucratic states, made it possible. It was the power of the bureaucratic caste now converted into a new bourgeoisie managing capitalism that turned the possible into the probable.

It took Russia 10 years to repel the impact of the Western economic invasion. In 2000 Putin came to power and

converted the former soviet state apparatus to serve the interests of Russian state capitalism, against those of Western or Russian oligarchs. From that point the conditions were created to open the road to imperialism.

But what was critical in unleashing Russia's potential as a great power was the foreign policy of the US and its European NATO allies to systematically engage in economic and proxy wars to provoke its breakup through regime change. This is what drove Russia ultimately to a defensive war in Ukraine. It was that war that forced Russia to abandon its economic ties to Europe and turn towards an alliance with China to protect its growing imperialist interests.

China was much more successful in its conversion of the former bureaucratic workers' state apparatus into a capitalist state serving Chinese capitalism. Since 2000 it has 'gone out' internationally to become the biggest imperialist economy threatening the rule of the West.

Far from declining (from 10% to 5% growth) China is expanding rapidly. The property collapse is no threat (the private investors are the loser in this collapse), nor is the Chinese population decline given China's tech advances impact on labour productivity all over the world.

So, what is really driving geopolitics and producing crises, wars and revolutions everywhere, is the decline of the West, specifically the US as its sphere of influence dwindles against the rise of Russia and China as leaders of the Global South, BRICS, etc.

And as is always true, the imperialist ruling classes, East and West, download their crises onto the backs of their imperialist rivals, neo-colonies, and ultimately the workers and most marginalised oppressed through depressions and wars. So what ends up as a proxy war in Ukraine, a US war against China, Israel's genocide in Gaza, or a 'police state' in Aotearoa, this is what happens when rival imperialisms facing terminal crisis go to war over who rules the world.

The decline of the West and the rise of the East is merely resetting the pecking order between the great powers over who gets the lion's share of our labour while the system collapses. That is why fiddling around in parliament, the UN and ICJ, is being played for suckers.

When capitalism is in terminal decline and cannot stop its own death march, workers have to unite globally to stop it and create a new society that can rescue humanity and restore it to nature. We call for a New Zimmerwald to unite workers to turn their guns on their own ruling classes!

Why Aotearoa must stay out of the US war with China

Aotearoa should not be a pawn in the US plan to wage war against China. Ben Morgan's 'simple' explanation of military developments in the Asia Pacific reads like a US state department briefing on the region. The 'developments' he speaks of are all in the US preparations for war with China over Taiwan. See his recent [Pacific Update](#).

Unstated is the assumption that the US as hegemonic power has the right to wage war against China over Taiwan *which it officially recognises as part of China! Any war against China over Taiwan is a war against China itself.* The monumental arrogance of this also has a simple explanation.

The US has long assumed the unilateral right to invade and occupy a country when it is in its interests to do so. Afghanistan and Iraq stand out, both were invaded on the spurious pretext of the 'war on terror' against 'clear and present threats' to US security. Of course, the real objective was to occupy key states as pawns in West Asia to project its power further into all Eurasia, and ultimately China.

But the coming war with China is something special, a bit like Netanyahu's genocide in Palestine. It is driven by the fact that the US as hegemon is in decline and is being overtaken by China as the rising powerhouse of the world economy.

The US cannot tolerate being overtaken economically by an upstart Asian nation that has been able to rebuff US attempts to re-colonise it as it returned to the global capitalist market around the millennium.

To add insult to injury China has developed into an emerging imperialist power that is now leading the BRICs bloc as the rival to the US imperialist bloc. This has flipped the [balance of global power](#) from the US towards the China led bloc.

This is the simple explanation for why the US is driving us to war against China. War is an extension of politics, which in turn is an extension of economics. To reverse its economic decline relative to the China-Russia Bloc, the US has to turn this into an existential threat to its national security.

This is so irrational and stupid, not to mention as obvious war crime against China, exceeding that of Gaza, it is laughable but for the fact that it will drag the whole Asia Pacific into the maelstrom of World War 3.

For a full picture of what is behind US warmongering in the Asia Pacific have a look at Brian Berletic's [recent video](#) on the subject. Berletic is a specialist in quoting Western official sources and corporate media to refute US propaganda that attempts to cover up its drive for war to defeat and break up its main global rivals, Russia and China.

But Russia has not backed down to war in Ukraine. And China will not back down in defending Taiwan as part of its sovereign territory.

The US cannot win as China has the military advantage of

defending its own territory, and has military superiority including the latest drone technology. Once opened this Pandora's box cannot be closed short of Armageddon.

Aotearoa must stay out of this irrational drive to war making it an expendable military target along with many other Indo-Pacific nations in the event of all-out war. It must reject the Coalition Government's push to reinsert Aotearoa into US defence strategy as members of AUKUS and other security organisations.

To do this it must join forces with other South Pacific nations for a Nuclear Free Pacific, as an independent bloc against Australia and other US allies bent on turning the Pacific into a nuclear wasteland.

In 1982 Owen Gager the founder of our political tendency wrote a pamphlet [Towards a Socialist Polynesia](#). He argued that *Māori and Pakeha workers in New Zealand and their comrades in the South Pacific states controlled by it, must unite to fight for a socialist Polynesia.*

Rather than going to war to defend the imperialist ruling classes, East and West, we must unite in wars of national liberation that come together in a federation of socialist republics of Polynesia as part of a united socialist states of the Pacific and East Asia!



US Nuclear attack submarine USS Washington

Why the Treaty is still a Fraud

All the old shit flying around the Treaty, everything that is not about Māori land rights and the necessity to nationalise the land to restore them, is immaterial. Ann Salmond documents what Māori chiefs said about the Treaty. They knew from experience that missionaries and settlers coveted the land as their private property. Yet they were promised chiefly sovereignty over their land; and their land rights protected by the Queen. They had the right to sell to the Crown which would stop land being wrongly taken. That promise was never kept. That was the fraud then and now and will remain so until the Treaty is honoured.

Marx on why Māori lost their land

The war in the north in the 40's was the first land war as chiefs protested the fraudulent failure of the Governor to protect their land rights. Hōne Heke's symbolic felling of the flagpole at Kororāreka (see graphic) speaks volumes. Then, in 1852 the Queen signed off the Constitution Act granting the settlers a colonial state with the power to dictate land policy. Self-rule meant white rule only as those with private property had the right to vote.

The state began to create legal ways to privatise land, often creating rifts within hapu and iwi, leading inevitably to the Kingitanga and the organised resistance to fraudulent land sales.

The settler state then declared such resistance to the colonial state land grab to be rebellion, and the pretext for war to take the land.

Marx in [Capital Vol 1 chapter 33](#) published in 1867 writes that the white settler colonies *reveal the truth* hidden in the home countries, that capitalism must create the conditions for capitalist production – the privatisation of land, creation of a landless labour force, and capital to invest in the exploitation of labour power.

In [Chapter 31](#) Marx also shows his long-standing interest in the whole process of 'primitive accumulation' necessary for the "Genesis of the Industrial Capitalist" at the expense of indigenous peoples.

E.G. Wakefield's 'Systematic colonisation' planned to transplant capitalism fully assembled in the settler colonies by buying land, and artificially creating a labour force with NZ Company land priced 'sufficiently' to force workers to work for capitalists until they could afford to buy land. In reality the plan collapsed and gave way to the wild Southern frontier of decades of land wars against the indigenous people for land.

The Crown's right of pre-emption might have slowed down the theft of the land if the state had honoured chiefly sovereignty. Instead, the colonial state became the wholesale land agent. In the 1850s Grey defrauded the chiefs, sold land cheap creating a free for all to set the price of land to meet the growing demand of the hordes of settlers escaping unemployment and destitution at home.



Hōne Heke chopped down the flagpole flying the British flag at Kororāreka four times (July 1844-March 1845)

Marx depicts this wild frontier in the fate of John Peel of the Swan River in Australia. Having imported capital, machines and labourers to assemble a capitalist venture, he found his labourers owed him no loyalty and 'shot through' to the bush to claim land to work for their own subsistence.

Far from 'transplanting' capitalism to the colonies, the settler colonies became a state managed "free market" where white settlers and their colonial state collaborated to dispossess Māori and set up as self-employed peasant farmers. The second land war from the 1860s to 1880s followed inevitably as the numbers of settlers increased the demand for land which Māori chiefs would not sell.

Now the reality that British imperialist policy was driven by the laws of capitalist development is revealed. For the colonial state to create the necessary conditions for colonial capitalism, it was necessary to privatise property, remove Māori from the best land, create a landless labour force, and build a state which legalised this process and the military enforce it.

By the turn of the 20th century all the best Māori land was converted into private property, the people of the land, now labourers, subsisting on what was left of their land.

Marx on the nationalisation of land

Marx had a lot to say over the importance of land rights in the struggle to end capitalism and build socialism. The privatisation of land under capitalism becomes a barrier to the further development of the forces of production and raises the demand for land nationalisation.

Historically it was no accident that the predatory white settler wars against indigenous peoples was to privatise their common land. That is exactly what the tribal chiefs did after the fall of Rome when they setup tributary societies based on extracting rent from the peasantry.

Most of the feudal landed gentry had no incentive to increase labour productivity on the land. Those that did made the transition to modern capitalist agriculture throwing the surplus peasantry off the land into industry as wage labour or the reserve army of the unemployed.

As Marx explained, white settler colonisation was the result of this 'destruction of the commons' which created a surplus population who then migrated and grabbed the land off the indigenous peoples as their means of subsistence.

As we have seen, the failure to transplant the social relations of capitalism in the colonies ready-made, led to an expanding warlike frontier as waves of new settlers arrived hungry for land. The settler colonial states, freed from the direct control of the mother country, created a [peasant family mode of production](#), from which rent would be extracted by the global capitalist mode of production.

What is named the Peasant Family Mode of Production (PFM) in DCINZ, arises at the expense of the Māori Lineage Mode (MLM), but both are subordinated to the Capitalist Mode (CMP) in its extraction of rent (the labour value produced on the land).

Both submodes struggled to evolve, but the MLM faced a disadvantage as it has lost its best land. It becomes a source of cheap labour for the growth of the PFM creating a basic class division between the white petty bourgeoisie and Māori subsistence surplus labour that persists to this day founded on land theft justified by a racist 'civilising mission' culture.

Meanwhile the PFM divided into poor, petty bourgeois and capitalist farmers as the majority faced debt or bankruptcy while a minority increased their [share of the rent](#) by employing farm labour.

We can now explain why the ongoing debate around the Treaty is immaterial so long as Māori land rights are not the objective. If the fraud is the expropriation of communal land as a result of the

land wars, then that stolen land must be returned to the Māori owners.

So it is time to dispense with the political theatre of Waitangi Day set aside for rehearsing old arguments about the Treaty unaware of the subterranean rumbles of the ongoing clash of modes of production.

The Māori leader who came closest to Marx in understanding that the colonisation of New Zealand was part of an international assault on indigenous peoples to subordinate their societies to imperialism, was Te Whiti o Rongomai. He saw the theft of the land and its money price as a necessary condition of the capitalist mode of production, and his solution was equally clear – occupation of the common land of hapu and iwi to defend the Māori lineage mode of production.

Today the minimum demand to honour the Treaty must be the abolition of private property in the land through its nationalisation by the state. This would confront the petty bourgeois racist culture head on and allow the majority to determine land policy by means of leases with conditions that respect Māori collective use of the land, and the respect for nature in the face of climate catastrophe due to global warming.

Towards a Socialist Polynesia

Of course, this is expressed as honouring the right of Te Tiriti for self-determination which in the Māori context means tino rangatiratanga. We have written about [de-colonisation](#) at length from the 1970s on. We argued for Māori self-determination in *Towards a Socialist Polynesia* (1982) in response to the impact of the Springbok tour and Donna Awatere's book [Māori Sovereignty](#) which shuns Marxist analysis of land rights for a petty bourgeois cultural critique of white racism.

What makes 'honouring the Treaty' even more explosive today is the wave of radical Māori youth coming up against a reactionary white supremacist political barrier fuelled by the [terminal crisis threatening global capitalism](#).

As that crisis is unloaded by the ruling class onto marginalised workers, poor farmers and youth, the contradiction breaks through the surface of society as the class struggle over land rights. That struggle will unite all workers and empower them to end capitalism and build a socialist world.

Forward to the Revolution!

Standing Upright in the Wild South

In the aftermath of the election debacle, the Right and Left in NZ are preoccupied with partisan debates about Conservatives vs Liberals. This is boringly parochial when more-market and more-state discourse creates a lot of noise drowning out any opening up of our horizons beyond national borders to envision a future for working class solidarity in building a socialist Federation of Australasia and the South Pacific republics.

NZ, Australia, and the other South Pacific countries have all been colonised by the British empire as part of the universal white settler frontier on all the continents. That makes us part of the Wild South compared with the Wild West, the Wild East and the Wild Tropics of the Global South.

While the Wild West has given way to US global hegemony, the Wild South of colonial conquest still defines our neo-colonial character. We share the common characteristics of settler colonies in the South Pacific and Australasia – self-rule without economic independence. Our economies have all been locked up by imperialism as primary producers of food, textiles, fuel and minerals.

Which means we are still under the yoke of a racist neo-colonial culture of white dominance packaged as national pride that can supposedly make us all ‘one people’. But there is nothing progressive about being trapped behind national borders. National chauvinism of the Right or Left is still chauvinism, and chauvinism of any shade is still the refuge of the scoundrel.

The Right is hyper nationalist in its identity politics to make us clean green kiwis proud of being suckered into producing and exporting super profits for the Australian banks, US pension funds and Chinese supply chains, while tolerating thousands of cheap labour migrants and middle-class tourists.

The Left is also hyper nationalist for more state intervention in a country largely owned and occupied by foreign capital. It is blind to the contradiction. How does bringing back Muldoon’s state interventionist economic nationalism work when Māori, Pasifika, Pakeha and Asian workers are forced by economic hardship to chase their back wages confiscated by the Aussie banks to look for jobs as second class citizens in Australia?

The right needs nationalism to keep workers passive-aggressive. That is, docile at ‘home’, but ready to go to war against Chinese workers for our

US led security partners. This means a real left must fight for workers to be liberated from national chauvinism and redirect their warlike aggression against other workers onto their class oppressors, to create a new society capable of restoring humanity as part of nature. No war but class war!

Let’s dump the death trap of chauvinism of all kinds, national, gender and race, and unite with our brothers and sisters of the Wild South to break out into class struggle. We have a long history of the suppression of such struggles over two centuries.

Instead of the settler parochialism of standing upright in NZ, let’s face the fact that the settlers never fought for their independence. Māori fought for their independence but were defeated by imperial and colonial troops in the land wars. That’s why non-Māori must recognise that NZ will never be independent until Māori are independent.

That is also true of Australia and the South Pacific. The struggles of the indigenous people, particularly women, will lead the way to unite us across borders, just as they did before borders were invented.

Sovereignty will mean something only when we reject bourgeois sovereignty of capitalist nation states and replace them with the collective sovereignty of socialist republics of the South Pacific and Australasia.

But that cannot happen while we all remain neo-colonies of the US and China. Workers need to unite in our Australasian and South Pacific homelands to form a united front against our imperialist masters. The first step is to act in class solidarity across borders for citizenship rights breaking down reactionary parochial national identities. That will begin the process of building collective class power constitutive of a new society emerging out of the wreckage of the old.

In Defence of the Labour Theory of Value

As soon as Marx made his critique of Ricardo as failing to understand capital exploitation attempts to disprove his conclusions began. They reverted to Ricardo claiming that value is not created in the process of production but in the process of exchange. Exploitation therefore occurs at the level of exchange and is open to resolution by reforming capitalism. Sustained attacks on Marx's labour theory of value continue today, notably the 'value form' theory of Michael Heinrich. Fred Moseley recently wrote a detailed rebuttal of Heinrich's misinterpretation of *Chapter 1 of Capital Vol 1, "The Commodity"*. Marx's essential critique of Ricardo was that he failed to explain why labour-power was the only commodity that created more value than its own value. Hence, the purpose of capitalist production is the extraction of surplus value which is then realised, rather than created, in the process of exchange.

First let us consider the main arguments against Heinrich as presented by Moseley and Roberts in an [interview with Michael Roberts](#).

Both reject Heinrich out of hand for substituting an ideological reading of Chapter 1 for a dialectical one. Heinrich is essentially saying that value is not created at the level of production, but at the level of exchange. This removes any need to recognise class struggle over surplus value as that which drives the revolutionary overthrow of capital.

Gone is Marx's theory of crisis as a result of the Law for the Tendency of the Rate of Profit to Fall (LTRPF). Gone is the historic specificity of Capital as destroying the conditions of its existence now vindicated totally in crises of overproduction that destroy rather than develop the forces of production. Essentially, they agree that Heinrich is a hostile bourgeois revisionist of Marx.

But what about Fetishism of Commodities

In our terms Heinrich is a neo-Ricardian who can't (or is ideologically not willing) to explain the source of value. Yes, he does not understand Marx's method. But why does he reject Marx's dialectics and his scientific critique of Ricardo? Strangely, in the interview, neither Roberts or Moseley mention Part 4 of Chapter 1 headed [The Fetishism of the Commodity and its Secret](#).

In Part 4 Marx's explains that 'political economy' is prey to commodity fetishism which attributes value not to its source labour-power but as inherent in the commodity itself.

Here is the explanation for the inversion of social relations of production as social relations of exchange. Economic relations where workers exhaust their labour power is ideologically effaced

by relations of exchange where commodities 'appear' to contain value determined by supply and demand.

Marx's discovery that the commodity labour-power has an internal contradiction between *use value* and an *exchange value*, where need is subordinated to profit, becomes 'dialectical' horseshit. The secret of the use-value of labour power able to produce more value than its own value, remains forever sealed. Exploitation becomes the subject of parliamentary struggle over income distribution by elites a la Piketty.

What Marx revealed generations before his various detractors or revisionists were born again as neo-Ricardians, was that use-value exists as congealed labour whether exchanged or not. It is not brought into existence by its exchange, but *because* of its use value its exchange value – the socially necessary labour time required to produce a use-value – is realised in exchange.

If the capitalist does not realise value in exchange, that value is devalued even destroyed. Since that may lead to a reduction of the value of variable capital (the wage) the production of value involves the historically specific class struggle over the realisation of value of labour power.

Who needs Crises, Wars and Revolutions?

Failure to read Capital dialectically eliminates the contradiction between use-value and exchange-value and the class struggle over the production of value, relegating it to the neo-Ricardian struggle over the distribution of wealth expressed in reformist politics.

Heinrich cannot explain why Marx's most 'important' law, the LTRPF, is the main cause of

crises, wars and revolutions. He will not tell us why purpose of crises is to devalue/destroy non-performing Constant (means of production which do not create new value e.g. raw materials and machines) and Variable (means of production that does create new value e.g. labour power) Capital to restore the rate of profit.

As capital reaches the limits to its life, this law must escalate into imperialist wars where rival powers seek to destroy one another's Constant and Variable capital to buy it cheap. The inevitability of war resulting from capital's failure to produce value becomes a conditional and voluntarist policy option to be solved by bourgeois democracy and contingent wars for this or that set of 'values'.

Heinrich fails in all of these aspects. Class struggle is reduced to the fetishised level of exchange which can be managed by the state. He therefore junks Lenin's characterisation of the epoch of imperialism as that of Crises, Wars and Revolutions.

For Heinrich, as all neo-Ricardians, crises are manageable, so it follows wars are negotiable, and revolutions against counter-revolutions, specifically fascism, are the power games of various elites.

Heinrich is oblivious to Marx's concept of capitalism necessarily destroying the conditions of its existence, namely the forces of production, and creating a terminal crisis, in which the contradiction between labour and capital, relations and forces of production, use-value vs exchange value, or nature vs class society, must be resolved as either socialism or extinction.

Finally, it is clear that Heinrich 'school' is anti-Marxist at worst or 'pre-Marxist' at best. He is writing a series of biographies on Marx. We look forward to seeing if he is able to extract from Marx's life story an account of how a Marx changed his mind about surplus value as the source of profits selling out his life's work.

The law of value in China

Since we have established that Heinrich finds Crises, Wars and Revolutions as unnecessary and negotiable, how does a Marxist understanding of the labour theory of value translate to explaining imperialist reality? Yes, we must move from the abstraction of Chapter 1 of Capital 1, to the

concrete real world of the 'many determinations' of Lenin's method in [*Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism*](#).

Not everyone who understands Lenin's dialectical method can make that leap however. [Michael Roberts](#) for example, has difficulty in applying dialectics to China today. He mistakenly conflates the concept of state capitalism in China with that of Lenin's Russia. He forgets that for Lenin 'state capitalism' was managed by a workers' state that was forced by circumstances to cultivate capitalist production in order to reproduce workers property relations.

State capitalism in China is not the private sector controlled by a state sector in some form of hybrid workers' state that reproduces workers' property. Workers in both sectors have their labour power exploited in capitalist production relations where surplus value is expropriated and reproduced by a capitalist state.

As Trotsky predicted, the restoration of capitalism in degenerated or bureaucratic workers' states would most likely take the form of state capitalism as the bureaucratic caste transforms itself into a collective capitalist class that invests in the production of surplus value.

Since 1992 prices in China have been determined by the law of value in which the socially necessary labour time of workers is exploited by both capitalist and state-owned enterprises. China is subject to the laws of motion of capital facing the LTRPF where its overproduced capital is exported to produce super-profits in the manner Lenin described. China is now an imperialist power that cannot escape the terminal crisis of capitalism short of socialist revolution.

Capitalism globally is now destroying the conditions for its own existence by exhausting nature and threatening human extinction. A socialist revolution in China in the near future would have the advantage of expropriating its vast productive forces under workers control, and planning production to lead world socialism against the total destruction of nature and humanity

Argentina in Terminal Crisis – for a Workers' Government!



The crisis in Argentina is the necessary result of the terminal crisis of global capitalism in its death agony. We say the crisis is terminal because capitalism can no longer reproduce itself when it is destroying its conditions of existence in desperation to escape Marx's basic law, the Tendency for the Rate of Profit to Fall (LTRPF). Yet there is no escape. The only way out for the imperialist powers is to download their crisis onto the semi-colonies which means the backs of the most exploited workers and poor farmers and force them to pay for the crisis. The mounting escalation of global debt and stagnation of value creation gives no way out for capital other than attacking workers with extreme shock treatment - a forced depression in which jobs and living standards are destroyed along with the destruction of nonprofitable industry and business. History has thrown up Milei as the executioner of the workers, and there is no way out but the fight to destroy capitalism before it destroys us. The alternative is either socialism or fascism. For workers to live, capitalism must die

Milei can't solve the bosses' crisis

The terminal crisis has thrown up Milei in a desperate attempt to make the working masses pay for the bosses' crisis. Climate change is unforgiving especially to capital intensive, mono-cropping agricultural models which leave entire regions vulnerable as production for the world market replaces production for local consumption. Over-extended in debt to the IMF, the finance

fraction of Argentina's ruling class has made a jump from the popular front to outright reactionary proto fascist solutions. This bloc of Argentina's ruling class with its US masters can no longer depend on the Peronists to contain the masses and have opted for Milei to impose massive cutbacks in jobs and social spending to make the workers pay for the national debt. These conditions have been met by an upsurge in working class anger.

The US is pushing for a Bonaparte who can lead from above to impose austerity but maintain a balance of class forces. So far Trump and Bolsonaro have proven to be lumpen Bonapartes who cannot fulfil that task. Milei is already the most lumpen of all. Such is the terminal crisis he cannot even maintain a balance between the fractions of the national bourgeoisie.

So far, his edicts have blown up in his face. His anti-communism, dollarisation and rejection of deals with China are facing resistance from the Peronist fraction which can offer de-dollarisation deals from China and the BRICS+ and to bring agribusiness and the union bureaucracy into a new popular front with China.

What about the Workers

On January 24th the masses showed they are willing and able to fight! The leadership of the workers movement however, while quick to unfurl their red flags, initiated the Day of Action as a "pressure relief valve" more than a workers' uprising. The FITU and its 5 constituent self-identified Trotskyist parties, act to divert the mass upsurge in defense of workers' rights into their electoral project. They a throwback to Bernstein and Kautsky opposed to the revolutionary method of Luxemburg and Trotsky.

They have squandered 10 years of Argentina's workers' fight to build mass organs of extra-parliamentary power. Today workers' councils, modelled on soviets as the basis for dual power, are at the top of the agenda!

The vanguard workers supporting FITU and other left organizations must break the stranglehold of decomposing currents of Morenism and Altamirism. The main strains of left reformism (PO, PST and the LIT-CI etc.) who still lay claim to the legacy of Trotskyism, have long sold out to capitalist rule. Altamira in 2017 cast the PST as "Podemos in diapers" which in turn called the PO propagandists. This rare moment of theoretical clarity did not alter the fact that both currents are drowning the masses in the Kautskyan marsh.

The nature of the FITU 'united front' is an unprincipled agreement, burying its differences and betraying internationalism on every burning acid test! The FITU has no agreed

position on the Russo-Ukrainian proxy war between the Western and Eastern imperialist blocs! Since the outbreak of war, we have called for a NEW ZIMMERWALD drawing on the legacy of Lenin, Luxemburg and Liebknecht to fight against the inter-imperialist proxy war and for workers' revolutions to end and prevent war.

Despite the infighting in FITU none of its adherents nor its electoral strategy remotely qualify as the United Front strategy of the 1923 congress of the Third International, or the 1938 Transitional Program of the Fourth International! Commanding tens of thousands of votes and a few parliamentarians, their Leninist pretensions are exposed as propping up electoral gains in a bourgeois parliament. Again electoral, national pacifist Kautskyism!

A new party and a new revolutionary workers' international to end the crisis of revolutionary leadership is the order of the day! That party has to be rooted historically in the Argentine experience with a fully developed revolutionary program integrated into an international transitional program. Only such a program can turn bankrupt reformist electoralism into the revolutionary rostrum of Liebknecht, Luxemburg, Lenin and Trotsky. Those who know this on the factory floor should begin organizing to take back your unions today! From that vantage you can see your revolutionary road to socialism and take it!

Enter China

The international crisis of capitalism, its terminal crisis, requires the balancing of the IMF debt and trade imbalances on the backs of the workers across the Global South. Loans and currency swaps from China were used to pay back IMF debt in Yuan and [IMF loans have been used to repay China!](#) Workers carry the gold of one imperialist master to the banks of the other and back again while they rape our soil and enslave us with debt!

For the working class to eat we must defeat not just the austerity but its root cause imperialism. China is making its play to use finance capital and trade deals to counter the IMF and the Dollar debt draining wealth to Wall Street banks.

China may win the 'left' wing of the Peronists and layers of the Trade union leadership and left organizations to its "progressive" pose as the fair players on the international economic stage. We say China is not an oppressed semi-colony. Rather it has emerged as a great imperialist power in a [bipolar world](#) challenging for supremacy in surplus value extraction from the Global South - Argentina included. Don't fall for it!

Enough safety valve "Days of Action" held to pressure the left faction of the bourgeoisie against the right and ultra-right! The bosses' governments come and go, but all agree on one thing - make the workers and poor farmers pay for the crisis. We say vanguard workers must build our class's revolutionary party armed with a transitional program. With these tools workers can create their own future based on a centrally planned economy, under workers self-management which exposes the bosses as obsolete and makes them pay!

The Transitional Program

To win, Argentine workers, [landless farmers and ranch hands](#), must build mass assemblies of the rank and file (base); form workers councils in every agricultural town, industrial center and working class neighborhood; build workers' self-defense guards to fight the fascists and defend the mass movement to expropriate foreign and national capitals; cancel the foreign debt and reorganize the economy under workers' control!

Build socialism by igniting regional revolution that unites the industrial, mineral and agricultural might of all of Latin America! Prepare the indefinite general strike which poses the question of which class rules creating the conditions for a workers' government to expropriate the expropriators. To win, it is necessary to build a revolutionary party and program able to guide workers in the struggle to seize state power!

Jobs for all and a living wage now!

For the Sliding Scale of Wages and Hours enforced by price and neighborhood committees committed to survival of our

class, something the Federations present leaders never have done!

For class struggle caucuses to carry out the renovation of our unions with ever more militant class struggle leaderships and immediate recall of class betrayers.

Down with Milei and all the bourgeois politicians. They must all go, and not one remain!

For the Indefinite General Strike!

Form strike committees in every factory town and workers neighborhood!

Build workers self defense squads, from armed pickets to increasingly coordinated workers militias against the fascists and the capitalist and state thugs.

Convene a national congress of the workers base to set up workers councils across the country!

For a workers' government based on workers' councils and workers' power! Abolish the Debt!

Expropriate Big Capital, Capitalist Firms national and international and run them under workers' control!

Smash the Capitalist State, servant of the stock markets and imperialist powers!

For a socialist federation of South America!

For a new revolutionary workers' party in Argentina integrated in a workers' international - the united World Party of Revolution based on the method and program of Trotsky's 1938 Transitional Program.

Zimbabwe:

Imperialist Primitive Accumulation vs. the Rural Poor

Today the comprador state is chasing people off the land and street vendors off the streets who can use the land, not just the decorated fighters from the Bush Wars (liberation struggle or second Chimurenga/Umvukela), but it is the international imperialists who get land at the expense of the rural and urban poor. This is an ongoing assault on the masses, extracting the price of imperialism's crisis from their lives! The Zimbabwe case and the robbery mechanisms and ploys are a forecast and blueprint of finance capital's plan for the masses of the global south.

Forty-five years after the defeat of the Ian Smith racist regime we are still watching national liberation go no place! Instead, we witnessed the last of the 1960-61 "national independence" victories of another variety of Pan-Africanism, and like all the others this held the masses back and away from socialist revolution, from taking power in their own hands and keeping it!

Today some 70% of the population is still involved in subsistence farming. Much of this is based on communal land administration by indigenous groups. This is a reflection of the under-development of productive forces in the semi-colonies. Of course, capitalism develops the productive forces but in the semi-colonies the productive forces are developed to maximise the extraction economy, mining gold, nickel, diamond and mono-cropping of raw tobacco for export.

Manufacturing for local use is not developed to the extent needed to employ the population and create a vibrant economy sufficient to elevate the population economically. While official numbers of unemployment hovered between [7.3 and 9.25 from 2019-2022](#), levels such as these were tolerated in the imperialist centers during the covid recession, but in places like Zimbabwe these numbers belie the actual conditions of working people.

First off the GDP is \$6,241 per capita. Second, most subsistence farming is hand to mouth farming centuries out of date. The crony capitalist "land reform" of 2000 did nothing like raise the material well-being level of the wider population. We recall how ZANU-PF was supposed to be a socialist party. Of course, socialism is understood to be an internationalist movement and world-view, not a peaceful coexistence with imperialism, nor acquiescence in the nation-state models and the borders on the maps that are imperialism's legacy! But then, that's Stalinism.

The numbers tell the story

The [World Bank reports](#) that 70% derive their income from farming yet only 9% of the GDP is derived from agriculture. This is a formula for extreme poverty. A socialist regime would have raised the poor farmers up with subsidised communal farming with technological

means, but after 1978 the tasks of the national-democratic revolution were deferred, and they remain deferred!

Zimbabwe agriculture is underdeveloped; consider that per arable 100 km² of land France has 640 tractors, the USA has 271 while Zimbabwe has 64 (per arable 100 km².) This reflects the low level of technique and corresponding output. No doubt the highest concentration of farm machinery is located at the former white owned big holdings and tobacco farms.

The imperialist EV (Electric vehicle) revolution is digging the graves of youth in artisanal mining operations!

Zimbabwe is lithium rich yet remains impoverished. Young workers are driven by poverty to artisanal mining and suffer the deadly consequences of Silicosis. The [NIH study](#), "The Triple Burden of Tuberculosis, Human Immunodeficiency Virus and Silicosis among Artisanal and Small-Scale Miners in Zimbabwe" (2023) reported a 19% prevalence of silicosis among 3,821 ASMs (Artisanal miners.)

The [NIH reported in 2022](#) that there are 500,000 artisanal and small mine workers in Zimbabwe. Silica dust, noise and workplace violence top the list of hazards identified in this damning report which recommends that standard industrial hygiene hierarchy of controls be implemented.

In November, 2023 [Geopolitical Monitor](#) reported that, "The People's Republic of China has announced a massive USD 2.79 billion investment in its lithium mining operations in Zimbabwe." [Reuters](#) reported that "Chinese firms, including Zhejiang Huayou Cobalt, Sinomine Resource Group, Chengxin Lithium Group, Yahua Group and Canmax Technologies, have spent [more than \\$1 billion](#) over the past two years to acquire and develop lithium projects in Zimbabwe.

The November, 2023 [IPIS report ZELA Zimbabwe Environmental Law Association](#) confirms that:

"... there are more than seven lithium exploration and mining projects at different

development stages, with Chinese companies leading the race. ... Local communities and villagers in lithium rich areas ... are participating in lithium mining and trading activities, **attracted by quick money and forced by limited (formal) job opportunities in the country.**(editors bold) ... reports indicated that an estimated 5,000 artisanal miners, fortune seekers and foreigners were involved in mining and trading lithium at [Sandawana Mine](#). Additionally, ZELA identified [artisanal miners](#) in Mutoko, Chiredzi, Goromonzi, Mberengwa and parts of Buhera districts. [Artisanal miners](#) use picks, hammers and shovels to dig and break lithium ore and load it onto wheelbarrows and trucks for export by Chinese, South African or Indian buyers. ... Artisanly mined lithium is being sold at a pittance to Chinese buyers with prices ranging between \$100-\$150 per ton. Moreover, smuggling and leakages of lithium across the border into South Africa or Mozambique were reported by [various actors](#) and [media reports](#), raising the specter of corruption, illicit mineral and financial flows."

Recall that this has already cost young lives in a [mine collapse](#), robberies of miners by ZANU-PF regime players, and gunplay between competing imperialist firms! See the linked article; the details will knock your socks off!

Big player investments

The [Zida Investment 2023](#) (Zimbabwe Investment Development Agency) report stated: *"We recognize the importance of sustainable developments through responsible investments, hence a renewed emphasis to attract socially responsible investors in line with regional and global trends."*

The report displays the extent of economic dependency with a graph showing that Foreign Direct investment vs Domestic investment is in the ratio of approximately \$490.66mn/\$1mn, which tells it all. (pg 22) New Investment licenses by country are indicative of the new alignment of world powers. Australia 4, Britain 4, Canada 1, China 81, India 15, Mauritius 6, South Africa 7, USA 1 (anomalously), Zimbabwe 7. The largest number of investors and the largest number projected value of projects is China. (see pg. 27.)

Imperialist parasitism eats the forests and calls it ecology! (The Carbon Credits Gag)

The Carbon Credit offsets in Zimbabwe are a scandal that expose the nature of the entire global scheme! One might presume carbon offset credits are issued for projects such as the allocation of forest land to national parks, the replanting of deforested lands, restoration of wetlands, planting fast growing CO₂ sinks, but this is not the case. Rather across the global south credits are being

issued on the 'spread' not unlike an options trader selling calls and buying puts to cover.

The Kariba REDD+ project, one of the largest 'eco-projects' in the world and considered a "major conservation project" in Zimbabwe is based on the [Verra VM0009 methodology](#), which ultimately exposes **capitalism as having no intention to "save the planet" let alone this forest.** Get this! [Bloomberg](#), reporting on how the South Pole carbon offset dealer speculates on deforestation:

"Simply put: When the project is planned, it predicts a deforestation rate (based on 10-year historic deforestation data) and uses this to calculate the issuance of credits. This predicted deforestation rate - or 'baseline' as it is called - is re-evaluated (or 'validated') after 10 years. Should the real deforestation rate prove to be lower or higher than initially modeled, the methodology demands that fewer or more credits will be issued in the following 10 year crediting period. This ensures that over the full lifecycle of a project, the volume of issued credits corresponds to actual emissions reduced."

[Reuters reported](#) that South Pole, the Swiss carbon offset dealer, backed out of the project last October stating, *"...it was not confident the Kariba REDD+ project - owned and developed by Carbon Green Investments (CGI) - met the standards it expected from its partners."*

Just as South Pole was running from the failing project the ZANU-PF comprador regime sweetened the pot for the speculators as reported last September at [Carbon Credits .com](#), *"... Zimbabwe amended its new carbon law governing carbon credit projects, dropping the initial plan to give 25% of the revenue to local communities to allow developers to keep a greater share of the profits."* The state will take 50% of the profits, 30% to the outside contractors and only 20% to the host communities. Considering the level of corruption of the ZANU-PF the shift of profit flow is a boon to the comprador bourgeoisie and its cronies.

How did we get here?

The semi-colonial world, that part of the world historically exploited by imperialist capital, never achieved *economic* independence. The masses of Zimbabwe, like those of other semi-colonies revolted during the post WWII period against the colonial settler state. Rhodesia, as Zimbabwe was then known, named for Cecil Rhodes, whose British South Africa Company chartered by the British monarch in 1898 in the wake of the defeat of the Dutch in the Boer war was an [herrenvolk democracy](#) (democracy for the minority white population-disenfranchisement for the majority Black population.)

From 1964-1978 the masses conducted an anti-colonial war and the ethno-British were militarily and politically

defeated by a coalition of resistance forces which all self-identified as socialist. The settler-colonial regime attempted to keep power in 1977, conceding to elections, the result of which was a Black-led government. But it was merely a puppet administering over a colonialist state apparatus, the military and police and state bureaucracy were still loyal to the Crown.

During the course of the revolutionary wars of southern Africa and by no small coincidence in time with the defeat of US imperialism in Vietnam, the defeat of the Gaetano dictatorship in Portugal, the post WWII economic boom ended. Imperialism could no longer afford to rule in the old way.

The 'decolonised' states gained power at the start of a major global recessionary downturn, consequence of the combined effects of the tendency of the rate of profit to fall and the national democratic revolutions sapping the super-profits colonisation afforded to offset the Tendency of the Rate of Profit to Fall (TRPF). In other words, in Zimbabwe and across the decolonising south, new regimes got power as the colonial economic ship was already under water.

Either workers and small farmers would push their revolutions through to socialism by defeating imperialism, not just militarily on the home front but by expropriating its foreign investment stock and productive facilities and realigning production according to the workers own planning, OR the imperialists would contain the permanent revolution and limit the national democratic revolutions from going over to socialist revolution, even so far as to prevent any carrying out of national-democratic revolutionary tasks! Though in retreat and licking wounds on the home front, the major imperialist powers still had economic and military power to squeeze every nation it had investments in. And do they ever!

To win full legal national independence the old colonial settler state had to be smashed. The opposition by the armed resistance to the legacy puppet regime forced a second election and the ZANU victory created a new state based on the victorious military resistance. A formally independent Zimbabwe was born. The nation was, by bourgeoisie standards, liberated, but the workers and poor farmers did not have power.

The shackles of legacy imperialist capital and colonial settler capital remained to be administered by the popular front regime. This is the scenario, which in the most general terms, was repeated in every nation which gained its liberation in the post WWII wave of decolonisation, with the exception of the countries which carried out social revolutions smashing the capitalist state and implemented transitional tasks towards socialism, including the nationalisation of foreign capitalist holdings, nationalisation of the land, the renunciation of foreign debt, the nationalisation of big national capital and the institution of a central economic

plan including the monopoly of foreign trade. Those countries, such as China, DPRK, Cuba, Vietnam, and Laos incurred the wrath of the west with sanctions, boycotts, blockades, military and covert incursions and invasions to make counter-revolutions, restore capitalism and make the countries safe for imperialist investment.

The revolutionary forces that defeated the British did so under the banner of socialism; Maoists, Stalinists, Pan Africanists and social democrats made the revolution. Yet, despite the socialist rhetoric of the revolutionary movement, once in power the ZANU(PF) never carried out a socialist program. Unprepared for the tasks of permanent revolution and ideologically under the sway of international Stalinism, the revolution stagnated for the following reasons. It did not mobilise the working class for the tasks of self-management, nationalisations, land reform, central planning and remaking the Pan-Africanist movement explicitly socialist internationalist in word and deed.

In short, trained by the Stalinists and social democrats the revolutionary forces could not provide the necessary working-class leadership to carry the revolution across the borders and turn the 'Bush Wars' into a region-wide socialist revolution. Rather, the Pan-Africanist ideology was the back door for the comprador bourgeoisie to disarm the workers and poor farmers revolution under the banner of National Unity and Pan-Africanism.

The Stalinist and Menshevik Popular Front was victorious at arresting the masses' drive toward socialism. Their stagist method argues that semi-colonies cannot 'go over' to socialism without first traversing capitalism in order to develop their forces of production. This is the historic aberration of Stalin's theory of "Socialism in One Country".

The theory developed to assuage imperialism and cement the power of the bureaucratic caste developing in the young USSR over the working class, against the program of the first four congresses of the Third International's perspective of world revolution, the transitional method of revolutionary Bolshevism united in Lenin's [April Theses](#) and Trotsky's [Permanent Revolution](#).

The RWG of Zimbabwe brings this transitional program to the masses and hones it for every developing struggle:

LAND FOR THE LANDLESS! & JOBS FOR ALL! EMPLOY THE UNEMPLOYED!

- **Stop all the evictions, demolitions, persecution of poor peasants and the landless urban poor! Land must be distributed to all poor peasants and landless urban poor!**
- **SMASH DEBT PEONAGE! For state bank fair credits to provide inputs for small farmers!**

- **STOP** central and local government attacks on street vendors!
- **STOP** government theft and confiscation of artisanal miners' work products!
- **WE DEMAND:** Billions for public works projects **NOW!** Fund essential state projects that employ the unemployed!
- **WE DEMAND:** free and quality health care and Education for All!
- Build quality housing, schools, hospitals, modern infrastructure and provide free public transportation at union wages!
- State funding for workers compensation for workplace injury and illness!
- **END SILICOSIS, TB AND WORKPLACE VIOLENCE!**
- **OPEN THE BOOKS! NO CRONYISM NO SKIMMING!**
- For workers' oversight of state projects, land distribution, banking and credit!
- **FOR CLASS STRUGGLE UNIONS! ORGANISE THE UNORGANISED!**
- Bring the unemployed, the street vendors, artisanal miners and landless farmers into the unions!
- **SHARE THE WORK:** Thirty hours work for forty hours pay to ensure employment for all who can work!
- **TO DEFEAT INFLATION:** We fight for a sliding scale of wages and prices enforced by worker/community price and wage committees!
- Build workers' health and safety committees to enforce safe and healthy worksites for all formal and informal workers!
- Workers form action committees to lead the resistance to the growing attacks on the wages and working conditions of workers and the livelihood of the majority poor!
- We call on workers and oppressed groups to convene a congress of delegates from the working people, peasants, urban poor, youth and soldiers to come up with a constitution that guarantees a good life for all!
- Workers break with the CCC and ZANU (PF) and form a worker's party that truly champions the interests of workers and poor masses!
- Workers form rank and file bodies to lead action in all unions and break with the reformist and compromised trade union leadership!
- Build workers self defense guards for all workers and oppressed in the struggle!
- **TO WIN:** To provide jobs and a decent life for all, big native capitalist and imperialist assets must be nationalised and put under workers control and worker's self-management!
- For a workers' state that defends workers and peasants against the local and foreign capitalists!
- No to US and Chinese imperialisms fronted by mainstream bourgeoisie parties!
- No to reformism and Stalinism, build a fighting socialist party!
- For a workers and peasants' government on the basis of the armed people to implement decisions that benefit workers and the poor!
- For a socialist federation of Southern African workers states!
- For an African socialist revolution as part of the international revolution that alone can guarantee a better life for all!
- For a new **WORLD PARTY** of socialist revolution based on the method of the **TRANSITIONAL PROGRAM** of 1938 to lead the revolution to end capitalism and open the road to socialism!

Further Reading:

<https://rwgzimbabwe.wordpress.com/2023/08/21/zimbabwe-economic-crisis-state-of-the-working-class-forthcoming-elections-and-urgent-tasks/>

BRICS in Africa and the Tasks of the Revolutionary Left

<https://www.cwgusa.org/?p=3155>

First published in [Revolutionary Worker](#) paper of the **RWG Zim**



International Leninist Trotskyist Tendency

Class Warrior: Theoretical Journal of ILTT http://www.cwgusa.org/?page_id=594

Revolutionary Workers Group of Zimbabwe (RWG-ZIM)

Email: rwg.zimbabwe@gmail.com

Website: www.rwgzimbabwe.wordpress.com

Revolutionary Worker (Paper of RWG-Zimbabwe)

Revolutionary Workers Group of Brazil (RWG-BR)

Email: gtrevolucionarios1@gmail.com

Site: <https://grupodetrabalhadoresrevolucionrios.wordpress.com>

Guerreiro da classe Trabalhadora (Paper of the RWG-BR)

Communist Workers Group – USA (CWG-US):

Email: cwgclasswar@gmail.com Website: Class War http://www.cwgusa.org/?page_id=16

Communist Workers Group- New Zealand/Aotearoa (CWG-NZ)

Email: cwg006@yahoo.com *Class Struggle* (paper of CWGANZ)

Websites: <http://redrave.blogspot.com> <http://livingmarxism.wordpress.com>

Class Struggle is online at <https://www.redrave.blogspot.com>

Archive of publications before 2006 <http://communistworker.blogspot.com/>

Phone +64272800080 cwg2007anz@hotmail.com